Response to Office Action Dated November 6, 2003

Application No: 10/006,544

Page 12 of 13

REMARKS

Summary of the Office Action

All claims stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No.

5,936,619 ("Nagasaki").

Summary of the Response

Claims 1-5, 11-12, 14-18, 20, 23-25, and 28-29 have been amended.

Claims 6-10, 13, 19, 21-22, 26-27, and 30-32 have not been amended.

No Claims have been cancelled.

Detailed Remarks

Applicant believes that Nagasaki misses the current claims for various reasons. Nagasaki

is primarily concerned with configuring the appearance of output based on the detection

of an orientation of an apparatus on which the output is provided when the apparatus is in

the used-state.

The claimed invention takes into account more than just the appearance of the output.

Among other aspects claimed herein, the functionality assigned to input mechanisms,

and the orientation of the input mechanisms are not taught in Nagasaki.

Claims 1-15:

Claim 1 has been amended to recite the following limitation:

"wherein at least one of a functionality or designated position of at least one of the

plurality of input features is based on the selected orientation"

Response to Office Action Dated November 6, 2003

Application No: 10/006,544

Page 13 of 13

Applicant submits that claims 1-15 are allowable over Nagasaki because that reference

does not teach configuration of input mechanisms of a user-interface in the manner

claimed.

Applicant also draws the Examiner's attention to dependent claims 5 and 12, in

particular.. Claim 5 teaches the assignment of functionality of a user-interface based on

the position of individual buttons in the orientation. Claim 12 recites that the orientation

of a handwriting input mechanism is selected based on the detected orientation. Neither

of these features are disclosed in the cited art.

Claims 16-28:

The arguments stated above are repeated. With regard to claim 16, Applicant submits that

the step of "selecting at least one of a functionality or position for one or more of the

plurality of input features" is not taught or suggested by the cited references.

Applicant also brings the examiner's attention to other dependent claims, which include

additional distinguishable subject matter. For example, claim 21 provides that the

orientation can be interpreted from a button press by the user. Claim 26 recites

configuration of a handwriting area based on the interpreted orientation of the device.

Claims 29-30:

Claim 29 has been amended to recite that the detection mechanisms is "based on a user's

contact with the electronic device." This feature in particular is not taught or suggested in

the cited references.

Claim 31:

Claim 31 has not been amended because Applicant believes that Nagasaki does not teach

the aspect of setting the orientation of a set of actuatable surfaces.

Response to Office Action Dated November 6, 2003

Application No: 10/006,544

Page 14 of 13

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are patentable over the art of record. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is requested by Applicants. Applicants urge the Examiner to telephone Applicants' attorney at (408) 551-6632 if any issues remain that preclude allowance of the application. The Office is given permission to charge any unpaid fees to Applicants' deposit account (50-1914).

Respectfully submitted,

SHEMWELL GREGORY & COURTNEY LLP

Dated: 2-6-04

Van Mahamedi, Reg. No. 42,828

4880 Stevens Creek Blvd. Suite 201

San Jose, California 95129 Telephone No.: (408) 551-6632 Facsimile No.: (408) 236-6641

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited as First Class Mail with the United States Postal Service, and that the correspondence is being addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria. VA 22313-1450, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, on the date indicated below.

on 2-6-04

(Date)

(Signate

(Signature)